


By combining these references as suggested by the Examiner, the Fournier applicator would lose a substantial portion of the most instrumental aspect of its intended purpose, the medicated surface area of the foam layer which actually comes into contact with the vaginal wall. Therefore, the combination of the Fournier and Yoon reference is not proper and Examiner should lift her cited 103 rejections.

CONCLUSION

Applicant states that based on the foregoing arguments, claims 10 and 12 should be allowed and thus the remaining dependent claims of 11, 13, 14, 15 and 16 should also be allowed. Thus, the Applicant respectfully requests Examiner to reconsider the Application and to pass the present Application to allowance. However, if Examiner believes that unresolved issues remain in this case, Applicant respectfully requests Examiner contact Applicant's attorney of record listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Laura M. Hagan
KERRICK, STIVERS & COYLE, P.L.C.
1025 State Street
P. O. Box 9547
Bowling Green, KY 42102
(270) 782-8160
Reg. No.: 38,902